

On QR-Submanifolds of a Quaternionic Space form

Bayram Şahin

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate mixed QR-submanifolds in a quaternionic space form and pseudo umbilical QR-submanifold of a quaternionic space form under some additional condition. Finally we give a necessary condition for QR-submanifold of a quaternion Kaehler manifold such that $\dim v^\perp = 1$ to be a 3-quasi Sasakian Manifold.

Key Words: Quaternion Kaehler Manifold, QR-Submanifold, Pseudo-Umbilical Submanifold, Mixed Geodesic QR-Submanifold, Almost Contact 3-Structure

1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to continue study of QR-submanifolds in a quaternionic space form which were started in [1]. We prove some results being QR-submanifold analogues of well known results for CR-submanifold of a complex space form.

Bejancu classified totally umbilical QR-submanifolds in a quaternion Kaehler manifold. However, it is well known that the class of pseudo umbilical submanifolds in a quaternionic space form is too wide to classify. Recently, Sato proved that any pseudo umbilical submanifolds with nonzero parallel vector field in $CP^m(c)$ is totally real submanifold. In the present paper, we have given a theorem for the pseudo umbilical QR-submanifold with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field in a quaternionic space form similar to the obtained by Sato in the Kaehler setting. Particularly, we prove that there exist no pseudo umbilical QR-submanifold with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field in quaternionic space form $c \neq 0$.

On the other hand, Bejancu, Kon and Yano proved that any proper mixed foliate CR-submanifold of a complex space form ($c > 0$) is complex submanifold or totally

real submanifold. We prove that there exist no mixed foliate QR-submanifolds in a quaternionic space form ($c > 0$).

Finally, we have considered QR-submanifold of quaternion Kaehler manifold with $\dim v^\perp = 1$. The present author and R.Güneş, S.Keleş have shown that QR-submanifold have almost contact 3-structure in this case[4]. In this paper, we obtain a necessary condition for QR-submanifold to be a 3-quasi Sasakian manifold.

2. Preliminaries

Let \bar{M} be a Riemann manifold and M be a Riemann submanifold of \bar{M} with Riemann metric induced by the Riemann metric on \bar{M} . Denote by TM^\perp and TM the normal and tangent bundle respectively. $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ show the Levi-Civita connections on \bar{M} and M , respectively. Moreover $\Gamma(TM)$ represents the module of differentiable sections of a vector bundle TM . Then the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla_X^\perp V \tag{2.2}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $V \in \Gamma(TM^\perp)$, where ∇^\perp is the normal connection induced ∇ on the normal bundle TM^\perp , h is the second fundamental form and A_V is the fundamental tensor of Weingarten with respect to the normal section V . Moreover its well known that we have

$$g(h(X, Y), V) = g(A_V X, Y). \tag{2.3}$$

Let \bar{M} be a $4n$ -dimensional manifold and g be a Riemann metric on \bar{M} . Then \bar{M} is said to be a quaternion Kaehlerian manifold, if there exist a 3-dimensional vector bundle V of type $(1, 1)$ with local basis of almost Hermitian structures J_1, J_2, J_3 satisfying

$$J_1 \circ J_2 = -J_2 \circ J_1 = J_3 \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_X J_a = \sum_{b=1}^3 Q_{ab}(X) J_b, a = 1, 2, 3 \tag{2.5}$$

for all vector fields X tangent to \bar{M} , where Q_{ab} are certain 1-forms locally defined on \bar{M} such that $Q_{ab} + Q_{ba} = 0$

Let \bar{M} be quaternion Kaehler manifold and M be a real submanifold of \bar{M} . Then, M is said QR-submanifold if there exists a vector subbundle ν of the normal bundle such that we have

$$J_a(\nu_x) = \nu_x \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$J_a(\nu_x^\perp) \subset T_M(x) \tag{2.7}$$

for $x \in M$ and $a = 1, 2, 3$, where ν^\perp is the complementary orthogonal bundle to ν in $TM^\perp[1]$. Let M be a QR-submanifold of \bar{M} . Set $D_{ax} = J_a(\nu_x^\perp)$. We consider $D_{1x} \oplus D_{2x} \oplus D_{3x} = D_x^\perp$ and $3s$ - dimensional distribution $D^\perp : x \rightarrow D_x^\perp$ globally defined on M , where $s = \dim \nu_x^\perp$. Also we have, for each $x \in M$

$$J_a(D_{ax}) = \nu_x^\perp, J_a(D_{bx}) = D_{cx} \tag{2.8}$$

where (a, b, c) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. We denote by D the complementary orthogonal distribution to D^\perp in TM . Then D is invariant with respect to the action of J_a i.e. we have

$$J_a(D_x) = D_x \tag{2.9}$$

for any $x \in M$. D is called quaternion distribution.

Let M be a QR-submanifold of a quaternion Kaehler \bar{M} . Denote by P the projection morphism of TM to the quaternion distribution D and choose a local field of orthonormal frames $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ on the vector subbundle ν^\perp in TM^\perp . Then on the distribution D^\perp , we have the local field of orthonormal frames

$$\{E_{11}, \dots, E_{1s}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2s}, E_{31}, \dots, E_{3s}\} \quad (2.10)$$

where $E_{ai} = J_a v_i$, $a = 1, 2, 3$ and $i = 1, \dots, s$. Thus any vector field Y tangent to M can be written locally as follows

$$Y = PY + \sum_{b=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^s W_{bi}(Y) E_{bi} \quad (2.11)$$

where W_{bi} are 1-forms locally defined on M by

$$W_{bi}(Y) = g(Y, E_{bi}). \quad (2.12)$$

Applying J_a to (2.11) and taking account of (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_a Y &= J_a P Y + \sum_{i=1}^s \{W_{bi}(Y) E_{ci} - W_{ci}(Y) E_{bi} \\ &\quad - W_{ai}(Y) v_i\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where (a, b, c) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. For $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ we can decompose as follows

$$J_a Y = \phi_a Y + F_a Y, a = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2.14)$$

where $\phi_a Y$ and $F_a Y$ the tangential and normal parts of $J_a Y$, respectively. Similar way we get

$$J_a V = t_a V + f_a V. \quad (2.15)$$

We note that a QR-submanifold is called mixed geodesic if $h(X, Y) = 0$ for $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and M is called mixed foliate if the distribution D is integrable and M is mixed geodesic [2].

Now, we state the following well known result for later use.

Theorem 2.1 ([1]) *Let M be a QR-submanifold of a quaternion Kaehlerian manifold \overline{M} . Then the following assertions are equivalent with each other*
 (i) *the second fundamental form of M satisfies*

$$h(X, J_a Y) = h(Y, J_a X)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D), a = 1, 2, 3$.

(ii) *M is D - geodesic*

(iii) *the quaternion distribution D is involutive.*

A quaternionic space form is a connected quaternion Kaehler manifold of constant quaternionic sectional curvature and its denoted by $\overline{M}(c)$. The curvature tensor of $\overline{M}(c)$ is given by [7]

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(X, Y)Z = & \frac{c}{4}\{g(Z, Y)X - g(X, Z)Y \\ & + \sum_{a=1}^3 g(Z, J_a Y)J_a X - g(Z, J_a X)J_a Y \\ & + 2g(X, J_a Y)J_a Z\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$.

A normal vector field V is said to be parallel if $\nabla_X V = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Let $H = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } h$ be the mean curvature vector of M in \overline{M} . If second fundamental form h is of the form $g(h(X, Y), H) = g(X, Y)g(H, H)$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, then M is said to be pseudo umbilical or equivalently $A_H = \|H\|^2 I$.

For the second fundamental form h , the covariant derivation $(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z)$ is given by

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z) \quad (2.17)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. On the other hand, for the submanifold M the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z, W) = & \overline{R}(X, Y, Z, W) + g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) \\ & - g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

$$(\overline{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z) \quad (2.19)$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$ [7].

3. On QR-Submanifolds of a Quaternionic Space Form

We shall give some lemmas for later use.

Lemma 3.1 *Let \overline{M} be a quaternion Kaehlerian manifold and M be a QR-submanifold of \overline{M} . Then M is mixed geodesic if and only if*

$$A_V X \in \Gamma(D) \tag{3.1}$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $V \in \Gamma(TM^\perp)$.

Proof. By the definition of mixed geodesic QR-submanifold and from the equation (2.3) we have the assertion of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let \overline{M} be a quaternion Kaehlerian manifold and M be a mixed geodesic QR-submanifold of \overline{M} . Then*

$$A_{J_a W_i} X = J_a A_{W_i} X \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\nabla_X^\perp W_i \in \Gamma(\nu) \tag{3.3}$$

$X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $W_i \in \Gamma(\nu)$.

Proof. From (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\nabla}_X J_a W_i &= (\overline{\nabla}_X J_a) W_i + J_a \overline{\nabla}_X W_i \\ &= Q_{ab}(X) J_b W_i + Q_{ac}(X) J_c W_i \\ &\quad + J_a \overline{\nabla}_X W_i. \end{aligned}$$

By using(2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} -A_{J_a W_i} X + \nabla_X^\perp J_a W_i &= Q_{ab}(X) J_b W_i + Q_{ac}(X) J_c W_i \\ &\quad - J_a A_{W_i} X + J_a \nabla_X^\perp W_i \end{aligned}$$

since M is mixed geodesic, from (3.1) we derive

$$A_{J_a W_i} X = J_a A_{W_i} X$$

and

$$\nabla_X^\perp J_a W_i - Q_{ab}(X) J_b W_i - Q_{ac}(X) J_c W_i = J_a \nabla_X^\perp W_i.$$

The left hand side of this equation belongs to TM^\perp , thus $\nabla_X^\perp W_i \in \Gamma(\nu)$.

□

Lemma 3.3 *Let M be a foliate QR-submanifold of quaternion Kaehler manifold. Then we have the following expression;*

$$g(A_{V_i} X, J_a Y) = g(A_{V_i} J_a X, Y) \tag{3.4}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$, $V_i \in \Gamma(\nu^\perp)$

Proof. From (2.3) we have

$$g(A_{V_i} X, J_a Y) = g(h(X, J_a Y), V_i)$$

since D is integrable, from theorem 2.1 we get

$$g(A_{V_i} X, J_a Y) = g(h(J_a X, Y), V_i)$$

Thus we have $g(A_{V_i} X, J_a Y) = g(A_{V_i} J_a X, Y)$.

□

Lemma 3.4 *Let M be a mixed geodesic QR-submanifold of quaternion Kaehler manifold. Then we have the following expression;*

$$\nabla_Y E_{ai} = Q_{ab}(Y) E_{bi} + Q_{ac}(Y) E_{ci} - J_a A_{V_i} Y + B_a \nabla_Y V_i \tag{3.5}$$

for any $Y \in \Gamma(D)$, $E_{ai} \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

Proof. By using (2.5), (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\nabla}_X E_{ai} &= (\bar{\nabla}_X J_a) V_i + J_a \bar{\nabla}_X V_i \\
 &= Q_{ab}(X) J_b V_i + Q_{ac}(X) J_c V_i \\
 &\quad + J_a \bar{\nabla}_X V_i. \\
 \nabla_X E_{ai} + h(X, E_{ai}) &= Q_{ab}(X) E_{bi} + Q_{ac}(X) E_{ci} \\
 &\quad + J_a (-A_{V_i} X + \nabla_X^\perp V_i) \\
 &= Q_{ab}(X) E_{bi} + Q_{ac}(X) E_{ci} \\
 &\quad - J_a A_{V_i} X + B_a \nabla_X^\perp V_i \\
 &\quad + C_a \nabla_X^\perp V_i
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking account of that M is mixed geodesic we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_X E_{ai} &= Q_{ab}(X) E_{bi} + Q_{ac}(X) E_{ci} \\
 &\quad - J_a A_{V_i} X + B_a \nabla_X^\perp V_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.5 *There exist no mixed foliate QR-submanifold of quaternionic space form with $c > 0$.*

Proof. We suppose that M is mixed foliate QR-submanifold of quaternionic space form with $c > 0$. First, from (2.16) and (2.19) we get

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, E_{ai}) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, E_{ai}) = -\frac{c}{2} g(X, J_a Y) V_i$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $E_{ai} \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. On the other hand, since M is mixed foliate we derive

$$h(X, \nabla_Y E_{ai}) - h(Y, \nabla_X E_{ai}) = -\frac{c}{2} g(X, J_a Y) V_i,$$

from (3.5) we have

$$-h(X, J_a A_{V_i} Y) + h(Y, J_a A_{V_i} X) = -\frac{c}{2}g(X, J_a Y)V_i.$$

Since M is mixed geodesic, $A_{V_i} Y \in \Gamma(D)$, from Theorem 1 we derive

$$-h(J_a X, A_{V_i} Y) + h(J_a Y, A_{V_i} X) = -\frac{c}{2}g(X, J_a Y)V_i.$$

Thus for $X = J_a Y$ we derive

$$h(Y, A_{V_i} Y) + h(J_a Y, A_{V_i} J_a Y) = -\frac{c}{2}g(Y, Y)V_i$$

or

$$g(h(Y, A_{V_i} Y), V_i) + g(h(J_a Y, A_{V_i} J_a Y), V_i) = -\frac{c}{2}g(Y, Y)g(V_i, V_i)$$

by using (2.3) we obtain

$$g(A_{V_i} Y, A_{V_i} Y) + g(A_{V_i} J_a Y, A_{V_i} J_a Y) = -\frac{c}{2}g(Y, Y)g(V_i, V_i)$$

from (3.1) and (3.4) we get

$$g(A_{V_i} Y, A_{V_i} Y) + g(A_{V_i} Y, J_a A_{V_i} J_a Y) = -\frac{c}{2}g(Y, Y)g(V_i, V_i)$$

$$g(A_{V_i} Y, A_{V_i} Y) + g(A_{V_i} Y, A_{V_i} Y) = -\frac{c}{2}g(Y, Y)g(V_i, V_i)$$

$$2g(A_{V_i} Y, A_{V_i} Y) = -\frac{c}{2}g(Y, Y)g(V_i, V_i)$$

thus we have

$$0 \leq 2g(A_{V_i} Y, A_{V_i} Y) = -\frac{c}{2}g(Y, Y)g(V_i, V_i)$$

which proves assertion.

□

Theorem 3.6 *There exist no pseudo umbilical QR-submanifold of a quaternionic space form $\overline{M}(c), c \neq 0$ with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field.*

Proof. We suppose that M be a pseudo umbilical submanifold of $\overline{M}(c), c \neq 0$ with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field. Then we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X H = -A_H X = -\|H\|^2 X, \forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$$

where $\|H\|$ is a constant . Therefore we have

$$\overline{R}(X, Y)H = 0.$$

On the other hand, from (2.16) we get

$$g(\overline{R}(X, Y)H, J_1 H) = \frac{c}{2}g(X, J_1 Y)g(H, H)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Since D is nondegenerate and $g(H, H) \neq 0$ we have $c = 0$

□

4. A Theorem on QR-Submanifolds in Quaternion Kaehler Manifolds with $\dim \nu^\perp = 1$

Let N be $(4m + 3)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold and (ϕ_a, ξ_a, η_a) be three almost contact structures on N . i.e. We have

$$\phi_a^2 X = -X + \eta_a(X)\xi_a, \phi_a \xi_a = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

$$\eta_a(\xi_a) = 1, \eta_a \circ \phi_a = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

where X tangent to N . Suppose that almost contact structures satisfy the following conditions

$$\eta_a(\xi_b) = 0, a \neq b, \phi_a(\xi_b) = -\phi_b(\xi_a) = \xi_c \tag{4.3}$$

$$\eta_a \circ \phi_b = -\eta_b \circ \phi_a = \eta_c \tag{4.4}$$

$$(\phi_a \circ \phi_b)(X) - \xi_a(\eta_b(X)) = (\phi_b \circ \phi_a)(X) - \xi_b(\eta_a(X)) = \phi_c X \tag{4.5}$$

for any cyclic permutation (a, b, c) of $(1, 2, 3)$. Then, we say that N is endowed with an almost contact 3-structure [5]. If N is a Riemannian manifold, then we can choose a Riemann metric g on M such that we have

$$g(\phi_a X, \phi_a Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta_a(X)\eta_a(Y) \tag{4.6}$$

$$\eta_a(X) = g(X, \xi_a) \tag{4.7}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$. In this case we say that (ϕ_a, ξ_a, η_a) , $a = 1, 2, 3$. define almost contact metric structure (see, [5]). Taking account of (4.1) and (4.6), we obtain

$$g(\phi_a X, Y) + g(X, \phi_a Y) = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Definition 4.1 *An almost contact 3-structure (ϕ_a, ξ_a, η_a) is*

a) a 3-cosymplectic structure if

$$(\nabla_X \phi_a)Y = 0, (\nabla_X \eta_a)Y = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

b) a 3-Sasakian structure if

$$(\nabla_X \phi_a)Y = \eta_a(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi_a \tag{4.10}$$

c) a quasi-3-Sasakian if

$$g((\nabla_X \phi_a)Y, Z) + g((\nabla_Y \phi_a)Z, X) + g((\nabla_Z \phi_a)X, Y) = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection and X, Y, Z are arbitrary vector fields on N .

Now, Let M be a QR-submanifold of quaternion Kaehler manifold \overline{M} such that the dimension ν^\perp is equal to one. In this case ν^\perp is generated by unit vector field, say N . Let $-J_a(N) = \xi_a$, $a = 1, 2, 3$. and hence the distributions D_a are generated by the vector fields ξ_a . Since ν^\perp is generated by unit vector field, we have

$$J_a Y = \phi_a Y + \eta_a(Y)N \tag{4.12}$$

for any $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where $\eta_a(Y) = g(Y, \xi_a)$.

In this section we will make use of the following proposition whose proof was given in [4].

From now on we will denote by M a QR-submanifold with $\dim \nu^\perp = 1$.

Proposition 4.2 *Let \overline{M} be a quaternion Kaehler manifold and M be QR-submanifold of \overline{M} . Then M is a manifold with almost contact 3-structure. i.e. tensor field ϕ_a of type $(1,1)$, 1-form η_a and ξ_a satisfy (4.1)-(4.7)*

Let M be a QR-submanifold of quaternion Kaehler manifold \overline{M} . Then by using (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.14) and (4.12) in (2.5) and taking the tangent parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X \phi_a) Y, Z) &= \eta_a(Y)\alpha(X, Z) - \alpha(X, Y)\eta_a(Z) \\ &\quad + \{\alpha(X, \xi_c) + \eta_b(\nabla_X \xi_a)\}g(\phi_b Y, Z) \\ &\quad + \{-\alpha(X, \xi_b) + \eta_c(\nabla_X \xi_a)\}g(\phi_c Y, Z) \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$

Theorem 4.3 *Let \overline{M} be a quaternion Kaehler manifold and M be QR-submanifold of \overline{M} . If $h(X, \xi_a)$, $a = 1, 2, 3$ have no components in ν^\perp and D_a , $a = 1, 2, 3$ are parallel in M . Then M is a manifold with quasi Sasakian 3-structure.*

Proof. From (4.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X \phi_a) Y, Z) &= \eta_a(Y)\alpha(X, Z) - \alpha(X, Y)\eta_a(Z) \\ &\quad + \{\alpha(X, \xi_c) + \eta_b(\nabla_X \xi_a)\}g(\phi_b Y, Z) \\ &\quad + \{-\alpha(X, \xi_b) + \eta_c(\nabla_X \xi_a)\}g(\phi_c Y, Z), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_Y \phi_a) Z, X) &= \eta_a(Z)\alpha(Y, X) - \alpha(Y, Z)\eta_a(X) \\ &\quad + \{\alpha(Y, \xi_c) + \eta_b(\nabla_Y \xi_a)\}g(\phi_b Z, X) \\ &\quad + \{-\alpha(Y, \xi_b) + \eta_c(\nabla_Y \xi_a)\}g(\phi_c Z, X) \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_Z \phi_a) X, Y) &= \eta_a(X)\alpha(Z, Y) - \alpha(Z, X)\eta_a(Y) \\ &\quad - \{\alpha(Z, \xi_c) + \eta_b(\nabla_Z \xi_a)\}g(\phi_b X, Y) \\ &\quad + \{-\alpha(Z, \xi_b) + \eta_c(\nabla_Z \xi_a)\}g(\phi_c X, Y), \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. Thus using (4.14),(4.15) and (4.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g((\nabla_X \phi_a) Y, Z) + g((\nabla_Y \phi_a) Z, X) \\
 +g((\nabla_Z \phi_a) X, Y) = & \{ \alpha(X, \xi_c) + \eta_b(\nabla_X \xi_a) \} g(\phi_b Y, Z) \\
 & + \{ -\alpha(X, \xi_b) + \eta_c(\nabla_X \xi_a) \} g(\phi_c Y, Z) \\
 & + \{ \alpha(Y, \xi_c) + \eta_b(\nabla_Y \xi_a) \} g(\phi_b Z, X) \\
 & + \{ -\alpha(Y, \xi_b) + \eta_c(\nabla_Y \xi_a) \} g(\phi_c Z, X) \\
 & + \{ \alpha(Z, \xi_c) + \eta_b(\nabla_Z \xi_a) \} g(\phi_b X, Y) \\
 & + \{ -\alpha(Z, \xi_b) + \eta_c(\nabla_Z \xi_a) \} g(\phi_c X, Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $D_a, a = 1, 2, 3$ are parallel and $\alpha(X, \xi_a) = 0$, then M is a manifold with 3-quasi Sasakian structure. □

References

- [1] Bejancu, A.: QR-Submanifolds of Quaternion Kaehler manifolds, Chinese J.Math. Vol:14 No:2 (1986).
- [2] Bejancu, A. Geometry of CR-Submanifolds, Kluwer,Dortrecht (1986)
- [3] Bejancu, A., Kon, M. & Yano, K.: CR-submanifolds of A Complex Space Form, J.Diff.Geo. 16, 137-145 (1981).
- [4] Güneş, R.,Şahin, B. and Keleş, S.: QR-Submanifolds and Almost Contact 3-Structure, Turkish J.Math. Vol:24 No:3,239-250 (2000)
- [5] Kuo, Y.Y.: On Almost Contact 3-Structure, Tohokou Math.J.22,325-332 (1970)
- [6] Sato, N.: Totally Real Submanifolds of a Complex Space Form with Non-Zero Parallel Mean Curvature Vector, Yokohoma Mat.J. 44 1-4(1997).
- [7] Yano, K. and Kon, M.: Structures on Manifolds, World Scientific (1984).

Bayram ŞAHİN
 İnönü University
 Faculty of Science and Art
 Department of Mathematics
 Malatya-TURKEY

Received 16.02.2001