Perceived stress levels in patients in novel corona virus infection pandemic: Prospective single-center study

DErdal Tekin¹, Dustafa Bayraktar², Dibrahim Ozlu¹, DFatma Kesmez Can³

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey ²Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey ³Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey

Copyright@Author(s) - Available online at www.annalsmedres.org Content of this journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Abstract

Aim: In the progression of the diseases, the psychological and stress levels of the patients affect the course of the disease. This situation is also important and should be analyzed in the follow-up of COVID-19 patients. In this study, it was aimed to investigate the stress levels of COVID-19 patients in our hospital isolation services.

Materials and Methods: A prospective cross-sectional study is conducted in our isolation services where possible/definite COVID-19 patients older than 18 years old are followed. According to the patients' swap test results, patients are divided into two groups; group 1: COVID-19 possible, and the group 2: COVID-19 definitive groups. The demographic properties of the patients investigated, and the "Perceived Stress Scale", was applied to all groups the day after the swap test results were completed, and the outputs were analyzed.

Results: A total of 157 patients with voluntary participation (94 possible and 63 definite COVID-19 patients) were included. The mean age of the patients was 39.34 ±12.87 years and 54.1% were women. In the analysis of the stress levels of the patients, while both groups have increased scores, but the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) score was higher in COVID-19 definite group and statistically significant (p<0.001). The patients with high stress levels (PSS score \geq 36) were found to be 7.4% (n=7) in group 1; 77.8% (n=49) in group 2; and 35.7% (n=56) in total, and statistically significant (p<0.001). When we investigate the source of the information about COVID-19 of the patients, television was the leading (91.7%) source. The most frequent preventive measure was hand washing in both groups and were not statistically significant (p>0.05).

Conclusion: Stress levels of patients increase in COVID-19 pandemic. It should be kept in mind that the stress levels may be higher particularly in positive cases, and psychiatric support and preventive measures should be occupied accordingly.

Keywords: Information networks; isolation; novel corona virus disease; perceived stress scale; real time polimeraz chain reaction

INTRODUCTION

The novel corona virus disease (COVID-19) was first described by the World Health Organization on December 31st, 2019; and soon became a pandemic on March 11th, 2020. In Turkey, the first positive case was detected on March 10th, 2020 (1). As of July 1st, 2020, the COVID-19 positive cases have been detected in more than 10 million people worldwide, and 200 thousand in our country. The mortality rate of this infection is more than 13% in countries such as England, Spain and France and 6% worldwide (1,2). The influences and consequences of COVID-19 pandemics are emerging all over the world in many ways (3,4).

It is well known that healthcare professionals work with heart in the treatment of COVID-19 positive patients. However, one thing that should be considered in the COVID-19 pandemic and not to be skipped is the mood changes that patients experience due to the pandemic. The easily and globally spread of this pandemic and its high mortality can lead to the emergence of psychological effects, fear, stress, and depression in patients admitted to hospitals with the suspicion of COVID-19 (4-6). It can be predicted that psychologically affected patients may have a worse prognosis in the fight against COVID-19 infection.

A successful combat is being carried out with the COVID-19 pandemic in our country. It is witnessed that all necessary precautions were taken as a preventive measure in the spread and treatment of the pandemic and more importantly in a fast, early and conclusive manner (7,8). This is followed with appreciation all over the world and leads to successful outcomes and low mortality rates. To ensure the continuity of this success, investigating the psychological changes of patients admitted to hospitals due to COVID-19 should be initiated immediately by

Received: 07.07.2020 Accepted: 09.09.2020 Available online: 25.01.2021

Corresponding Author. Erdal Tekin, Department of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey **E-mail:** dret25@gmail.com

analyzing the mood changes of the patients. In scope of this, the stress levels of the patients in the isolation services of our hospital are aimed and investigated in this study in the possible/definite cases of COVID-19.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Study design and adjustment

This study was a prospective single-center crosssectional study, conducted in isolation services prepared for the COVID-19 pandemic of a third level university hospital. For the study, the necessary permission was obtained from the Scientific Research Platform of the Ministry of Health, and the ethics committee approval was received from the local Ethics Committee of the university (reference number: B.30.2.ATA.0.01.00/287, date: 07.05.2020). Our study was engaged in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and informed consent was obtained from the participants.

Participants

The study universe of this research was accepted as all the COVID-19 patients being followed up in the entire isolation services, prospectively included over a month period. The possible and definitive COVID-19 patients followed in the isolation services of our hospital were included in the study. Patients older than 18 years of age who voluntarily gave informed consent through face-toface interviews with the physicians were included in the study. The selection and the inclusion of the patients are presented in the flow chart and given in Figure 1.

Pregnant, breastfeeding, patients requiring urgent intervention, intubated patients, unconscious patients, patients with psychiatric disorders, those who could not express themselves, patients with dementia and Alzheimer's disease and patients under 18 were excluded from the study.

The participants were divided into two groups:

Group 1: COVID-19 possible group: Consist of low-risk patients admitted to the COVID-19 outpatient clinic, by considering the Ministry of Health COVID-19 guideline (1). The patients, who had negative real-time-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) results were administered a guestionnaire one day after the result was announced.

Group 2: COVID-19 definitive group: Consist of high-risk patients admitted to the COVID-19 outpatient clinic, by considering the Ministry of Health COVID-19 guideline (1). The patients, who had positive RT-PCR results, were administered a questionnaire one day after the result was announced.

Preparation of the survey

In this study, a questionnaire was introduced to all patients in order to examine the sociodemographic characteristics of the patients along with the "Perceived Stress Scale" (PSS) questions. The inquiries questioning the age, gender, educational and marital status, family structure, occupation, income level, chronic diseases, measures taken during the COVID-19 pandemic, and where they learned the information about the pandemic, were asked and answered. This questionnaire was applied to all patients who met the study criteria, and who gave the informed consent.

In order to minimize the risk of infection and the contact with healthcare personnel from patients in isolation rooms, the questionnaire paper was left for patients to fill out by themselves. When the questionnaire forms filled out, in order to prevent contamination, forms were photographed without being removed from patient rooms. The data on the digitalized survey forms were analyzed.

Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)

The 14-item PSS questionnaire is widely used to evaluate perceived stress (9). It is a scale to evaluate how people rate the events they experience in their lives as stressful. Frequency of the answers to the questions in the scale as; 0 points (never), 1 point (almost never), 2 points (sometimes), 3 points (fairly often) and 4 points (very often). In the questionnaire, the 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10 and the 13th questions were scored in the reverse direction. This scale is scored between 0-56 points in total. The higher scores indicate that the perceived stress level is high. While the total score is 0-35, it is considered as a normal stress level, while the score between 36 and 56 indicates that the person is under stress.

Statistical analysis

In our study, statistical analyzes were done by using IBM SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corporation, New York, NY, USA) package program. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for normal distribution assessment. Categorical data were presented as numbers and percentages, numerical data were presented as mean and standard deviations if it was normally distributed, or as median and interquartile ranges (IQR) if was not normally distributed. With the normal distribution, the Student t-test was used if two groups were compared, whereas, the Mann Whitney U test was used if it is not normally distributed. Statistical significance was taken as p <0.05 in the study.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics

Of the 199 patients enrolled in the study, 161 patients who were eligible for the study criteria were included the study and four of them excluded since they answered the questionnaire incompletely, and a total of 157 patients were finally analyzed (Figure 1). The mean age of the patients in the study was 39.34 ± 12.87 years. Women were 54.1% (n = 85) of the patients. From all patients, 79.6% (n = 125) were married, 29.9% (n = 47) were undergraduates, and 75.2% (n = 118) did not have any previously known diseases. The RT-PCR result of the patients was positive in 40.1% (n = 63), and the total PSS value was 33.23 ± 8.12 . Other characteristics of the patients are given in Table 1.





Participants' opinions

When the information sources and preventive measures taken by the patients about COVID-19 are examined, the majority of the patients (91.7%) stated that they gained information from television; 93% of patients stated that they frequently washed their hands in order to protect them from COVID-19. Other information sources and precautions taken by patients are shown in Table 2.



Figure 2. Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) scores of COVID-19 negative (Group 1) and positive (Group 2). (Low stress <35 points; Greater Stress: 36-56 points)

	All Group (n, %)	Group 1 (n, %)	Group 2 (n, %)	p value
Age (mean±SD) years	39.34±12.87	37.14±11.71	42.6±13.88	0.018
Sex, Female	85 (%54.1)	47 (%50)	38 (%60.3)	0.205
Family structure, nuclear family	134 (%85.4)	80 (%85.1)	54 (%85.7)	0.916
Marriage, married	125 (%79.6)	72 (%76.6)	53 (%84.1)	0.252
Working status, working	81 (%51.6)	52 (%55.3)	29 (%46)	0.255
Education level				
None	6 (%3.8)	3 (%3.2)	3 (%4.8)	0.319
Primary school	42 (%26.8)	16 (%17)	26 (%41.3)	
High school	40 (%25.5)	29 (%30.9)	11 (%17.5)	
Undergraduate	18 (%11.5)	15 (%16)	3 (%4.8)	
License	47 (%29.9)	29 (%30.9)	18 (%28.6)	
Postgraduate	4 (%2.5)	2 (%2.1)	2 (%3.2)	
Monthly Income (TL)				
0-1800	66 (%42)	36 (%38.3)	30 (%47.6)	0.386
1801-2500	8 (%5.1)	5 (%5.3)	3 (%4.8)	
2501-4000	41 (%26.1)	27 (%28.7)	14 (%22.2)	
4001-8000	39 (%24.8)	25 (%26.6)	14 (%22.2)	
>8001	3 (%1.9)	1 (%1.1)	2 (%3.2)	
Comorbidities				
None	118 (%75.2)	78 (%83)	40 (%63.5)	0.051
Chronic Diseases	39 (%24 8)	16 (%17)	23 (%36.5)	

Comparison of groups

Comparison of groups is given in Table 1 and 2. The average age of patients in Group 2, who had a positive diagnosis, was found to be higher than the first group and was found to be statistically significant (p=0.018). It was found that there was no statistically significant difference between the education and monthly income levels and comorbidities

of the patients (p> 0.05). It was observed that patients mostly gathered the information about COVID-19 from television (Group 1: 90.4%; Group 2: 93.7%). Considering the measures taken to protect against COVID-19, it was found that the most frequent preventive measure in both groups was washing of hands (92.6%; 93.7%, respectively) and it was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

Table 2. The answers of the participants						
	All Group (n, %)	Group 1 (n, %)	Group 2 (n, %)	p value		
Information Source*						
Television	144 (%91.7)	85 (%90.4)	59 (%93.7)	0.474		
Newspaper	13 (%8.3)	4 (%4.3)	9 (%14.3)	0.026		
Internet	58 (%36.9)	32 (%34)	26 (%41.3)	0.359		
Social media	51 (%32.5)	28 (%29.8)	23 (%36.5)	0.380		
Circle of friends	23 (%14.6)	15 (%16)	8 (%12.7)	0.600		
Not interested	0 (%0)	94 (%100)	63 (%100)	1.000		
Preventive measures⁺						
Using a mask	143 (%91.1)	84 (%89.4)	59 (%93.7)	0.357		
Using gloves	82 (%52.2)	51 (%54.3)	31 (%49.2)	0.536		
Frequent hand washing	146 (%93)	87 (%92.6)	59 (%93.7)	0.792		
Not going out	116 (%73.9)	62 (%66)	54 (%85.7)	0.006		
Not accepting guests	118 (%75.2)	67 (%71.3)	51 (%81)	0.170		
Not handshake	134 (%85.4)	76 (%80.9)	58 (%92.1)	0.052		
PSS Questionnaire						
PSS score, mean±SD	33.23±8.12	28.69±6.14	40±5.6	<0.001		
High Stress levels	56 (%35.7)	7 (%7.4)	49 (%77.8)	<0.001		
*Multiple answers can be selected						

As shown in Figure 2, when PSS was compared between groups, it was found that PSS score was higher in Group 2 and was statistically significant (p<0.001). When the PSS scores of the patients were considered into two groups as the normal stress levels (score \leq 35) and high stress levels (score \geq 36), the patients with high stress levels were found to be 7.4% (n=7) in group 1; 77.8% (n=49) in group 2, and 35.7% (n=56) in total. This difference in groups was statistically significant (p<0.001) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

This study is investigated and compared stress levels of possible and definitive novel corona virus cases, according to COVID-19 guides of Ministry of Health, by using PSS questionnaire, one day after the RT-PCR results in isolation services. The perceived stress levels in both groups are found to be higher. However, the patients with positive RT-PCR results were found to have a higher PSS score than the negative group and were found to be statistically significant. Also, the proportion of the high stress levels (PSS score ≥36) in definitive COVID-19 patients was statistically significant in comparison with the possible COVID-19 patients.

In the literature, an online study investigating the perceived stress levels in COVID-19 patients in Columbia had lower proportions (14.3%) (10) than the results of our study (77.8%). This difference is interestingly higher in our population.

In a study, Bicer I et al found that epidemic diseases lead to disruption in the psychological well-being of the society. (11). In isolated individuals during the Middle East

Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) disease in 2003, the anxiety symptoms and anger prevalence were increased both in the isolation period and four to six months after exiting isolation (12). In a study in which the Chinese people examined for the psychological responses to the disease in the first period of the COVID-19 pandemic, 53.8% of the participants had moderate to severe depression, 16.5% had moderate to severe depressive symptoms, and 28.8% had moderate to severe anxiety symptoms (5). Also, in the studies in the literature, it has been determined that the COVID-19 pandemic increases the level of stress and anxiety in humans and increases the concern of contamination and health anxiety, and as a result, people tend to suffer post-traumatic stress and suicide (13-15). In our study, it was found that COVID-19 patients had increased perceived stress levels. While the stress levels of patients with negative RT-PCR results were found to be at lower levels, the patients with positive RT-PCR results had higher stress levels and more anxious.

In a study, Wang C et al emphasized that the internet (93.5%) was used as the primary health information network for the public in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic in China (6). As a result of that study, it was stated that television and the internet should be used in order to reach and broadcast important health information to the population. Thus, it was mentioned that the information pollution about the knowledge, attitudes and practices about the pandemic of the society will be prevented and it can be tackled more effectively (6). In our study, it was observed that the vast majority of the participants learned and followed the information about COVID-19 from television (91.7%), but on the contrary, the

use of the internet (36.9%) was not preferred by patients who participated in our study.

On the other , it was observed that this perceived stress level did not statistically different with the marital status, working status, education and income levels, and comorbidities of the patients. In addition, it was observed that patients in both groups mostly complied with personal protective measures and hygiene rules in order to protect them from COVID-19. Among these, frequent washing of hands in both groups was found at the highest proportions. In line with the "stay home" and "life fits home" campaigns conducted by the Ministry of Health, it was determined that patients in group 2 stayed at homes at a higher rate (85.7%).

In a study in the literature investigating the preventive measures in Indian population was found that the 37% of participants admitted were using a mask, more than 75% felt the need to use gloves, and almost 85% agreed that they frequently washed their hands (16). These preventive measures were found to be higher in our populations as wearing a mask in 91.1%, using gloves in 52.2%, and frequent hand washing in 93%. These higher proportions should reflect and point the higher awareness and higher adaptations in our population against the pandemic.

In a COVID-19 fear study conducted by Satici B et al throughout Turkey, it was determined that COVID-19 fear increases depression, stress and anxiety and reduces life satisfaction in people (17). High levels of psychological distress and poor mental health were highlighted in participants as fear of COVID-19. Furthermore, studies in literature have shown that people have increased levels of depression, anxiety and stress due to COVID-19 events of any kind, such as an encounter with COVID-19 or relatives' disease, exposure to related news, etc. (18-22). Our study, unlike other studies in the literature, investigated the perceived stress levels of possible and definite cases of COVID-19. The difference in perceived stress levels between both groups was investigated and found to be higher in the positive cases of COVID-19.

In a study, although it is found that there was no statistically significant difference between the gender and the psychological states of the Chinese people, but their marital status, education levels and professions are found to be statistically significant in their stress levels (23). Conversely, in our study, it was found that there was no statistically significant difference in determining the relationship stress level with these factors. This gives us the conclusion that, in our study, the perceived stress level was compared more objectively in the possible and definitive cases of COVID-19 patients, without affected by confounding factors.

LIMITATIONS

Our study has some limitations. Although the informed consents were obtained by face-to-face interview, then after, the questionnaire was not conducted with faceto-face method due to COVID-19 contamination risks. This may have limit the self-report of the questionnaires. Secondly, the study was single-centered. Although patients with a history of psychiatric illness were excluded from the study, previous perceived stress levels of patients were not measured and not considered in the study.

CONCLUSION

It is claimed that the stress levels of the people increased in the COVID-19 pandemic. In our study, it was found that the perceived stress levels of the patients who were followed in the isolation services were higher in the positive RT-PCR test group. Healthcare providers are required to provide psychological support to patients, taking into account these perceived stress levels.

Conflict of interest : The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Financial Disclosure: There are no financial supports.

Ethical approval: For the study, the necessary permission was obtained from the Scientific Research Platform of the Ministry of Health, and the ethics committee approval was received from the local Ethics Committee of the university (Reference number: B.30.2.ATA.0.01.00/287, Date: 07.05.2020).

REFERENCES

- 1. T. C. Ministry of Health, General Directorate of Public Health. COVID-19 Guide. 2020.
- Cruz MP, Santos E, Velázquez Cervantes MA, et al. COVID-19, a worldwide public health emergency. Rev Clin Esp 2020;S0014-2565(0020)30092-30098.
- 3. Kang L, Ma S, Chen M, et al. Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: A cross-sectional study. Brain Behav Immun 2020;87:11-7.
- Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, et al. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. The Lancet Psychiatry 2020;7:547-60.
- 5. Li S, Wang Y, Xue J, et al. The impact of COVID-19 epidemic declaration on psychological consequences: a study on active Weibo users. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:2032.
- Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:1729.
- 7. Demirbilek Y, Pehlivanturk G, Ozguler ZO, et al. COVID-19 outbreak control, example of ministry of health of Turkey. Turk J Med Sci 2020;50:489-94.
- 8. Petersen E, Gokengin D. SARS-CoV-2 epidemiology and control, different scenarios for Turkey. Turk J Med Sci 2020;50:509-14.
- 9. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. Journal of Health and Social Behavior 1983;24:385-96.

- Pedrozo-Pupo JC, Pedrozo-Cortés MJ, Campo-Arias A. Perceived stress associated with COVID-19 epidemic in Colombia: an online survey. Cadernos de saude publica. 2020;36:e00090520.
- 11. Bicer I, Cakmak C, Demir H, et al. Coronavirus anxiety inventory short form: Turkish validity and reliability study. Anatol Clin 2020;25:216-25.
- 12. Jeong H, Yim HW, Song Y-J, et al. Mental health status of people isolated due to Middle East Respiratory Syndrome. Epidemiol Health 2016;38:e2016048.
- Shelef L, Zalsman G. [The psychological impact of COVID-19 on mental health - literature review]. Harefuah 2020;159:320-5.
- 14. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. Psychiatry Res 2020;287:112934.
- 15. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al. A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Brain Behav Immun 2020:87;40-8.
- Roy D, Tripathy S, Kar SK, et al. Study of knowledge, attitude, anxiety & perceived mental healthcare need in Indian population during COVID-19 pandemic. Asian J Psychiatr 2020;51:102083.
- 17. Satici B, Gocet-Tekin E, Deniz ME, et al. Adaptation of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Its Association with Psychological Distress and Life Satisfaction in Turkey. Int J Ment Health Addict 2020:1-9.

- 18. Ahorsu DK, Lin C-Y, Imani V, et al. The Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Development and Initial Validation. Int J Ment Health Addict 2020:1-9.
- 19. Ozamiz-Etxebarria N, Dosil-Santamaria M, Picaza-Gorrochategui M, et al. Stress, anxiety, and depression levels in the initial stage of the COVID-19 outbreak in a population sample in the northern Spain. Cadernos de saude publica 2020;36:e00054020.
- 20. Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, et al. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. The lancet Psychiatry 2020;7:611-27.
- 21. Xiang Y-T, Yang Y, Li W, Zhang L, et al. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. The lancet Psychiatry 2020;7:228-29.
- 22. Liu H, Li X, Chen Q, et al. Illness perception, mood state and disease-related knowledge level of COVID-19 family clusters, Hunan, China. Brain Behav Immun 2020;88:30-1.
- Tian F, Li H, Tian S, et al. Psychological symptoms of ordinary Chinese citizens based on SCL-90 during the level I emergency response to COVID-19. Psychiatry Res 2020;288:112992.